Application Ref: NP/12/0230

Application Type

Full

Grid Ref:

SM84033144

Applicant

Mr Tom O'Kane

Agent

Proposal

Low Impact Development on 6 hectares to include

dwelling, an agricultural barn, an education room, a

polytunnel and volunteer sleeping space

Site Location

Land adjacent to Binchurn Farm, Llanon, Haverfordwest,

Pembrokeshire, SA62 5AE

Case Officer

Vicki Hirst

Summary

- 1.1 This application is not before Committee for a decision. The applicant has already appealled on the grounds of 'non-determination'. This application is therefore for consideration with members views being sought as to how they would have dealt with the application had it been before them for determination.
- 1.2 This application seeks planning permission for a low impact development (LID) on 6 hectares to include a dwelling, an agricultural barn, an education room, a polytunnel and volunteer sleeping space. The application also includes a compost toilet building, duck house, solar panel array, access trackways and parking area and a pond.
- 1.3 The application has generated a considerable amount of representation and should be determined with regard to the Development Plan policies and other material considerations, namely Welsh Government advice set out in Technical Advice Note 6.
- 1.4 The main policy to consider is policy 47 of the Local Development Plan (LDP) which relates to LID making a positive contribution. There are eight criteria to be met and it is not considered that the proposal conforms with criteria a, b, d and f.
- 1.5 Furthermore it is not considered that the proposal complies with a number of the more generic policies within the LDP in relation to the special qualities of the National Park, nor with the advice in national guidance, namely Technical Advice Note 6. The statutory purpose of the National Park Authority and its requirement to conserve and enhance the National Park's natural beauty and cultural heritage is compelling in this case and the application is therefore recommended for refusal.

Consultee Response

Access Manager: No Public Rights of Way affected

Llanrhian Community Council: Objecting

Item 6 - Report on Planning Applications

Countryside Council for Wales: No objection Environment Agency Wales: No objection

Dwr Cymru Welsh Water: Conditional Consent

PCC - Transportation & Environment: Conditional Consent

Tree & Landscape Officer: Insufficient information has been provided to

assess the proposal fully.

Friends of Pembrokeshire National Park: Objecting

Public Response

The application has been appropriately advertised by site notice and neighbour notification and numerous letters of objection have been received. The main issues raised are:

- There is property for sale nearby which could be used for a home instead of this site.
- The development may set a precedent for similar schemes to be given permission, with a resulting negative impact on the National Park.
- The proposal may have a negative effect on the survival of woodland nearby.
- Concern over the commercial viability of farming activities and the proposed business plan in general.
- The negative visual impact of the new development the site would be visible from the coastal path.
- The loss of Greenfield land and development in open countryside is not desirable.
- The size of the proposal would be out of scale with Llanon it is too large and not compatible with its surroundings.
- The proposal would have an adverse impact on the landscape the site is highly visible and in a narrow strip of the National Park.
- It would not be possible for the development to be environmentally or economically sustainable.
- The soil and weather conditions would not support the proposed planting schemes – the proposed fruit trees and broadleaf woodland would not survive in this location.
- The development would be out of character with the local area and the National Park as a whole.
- The proposal would have an adverse impact on Pembrokeshire's tourist trade due to its negative effect on the special qualities of the National Park.
- There would be a lack of social or economic benefit for the public as a result of the proposed development.
- There would be an adverse impact from additional traffic on the nearby country lane.
- Land historically used for agriculture should not be used for residential purposes. The land has intrinsic value as agricultural land.

- There are suitable sites outside of the National Park which could be used for this type of development.
- The proposed screening measures for the development would be insufficient.
- The proposal shows a lack of knowledge of the Llanon community.
 There are existing businesses nearby such as carpenters and sellers of organic farm produce which this proposal would compete with. These are already struggling to survive.
- The use of non-environmentally friendly materials, such as polythene and concrete in the construction of the proposed development is not desirable.
- The proposal does not follow the typical historical pattern of the local area, e.g. low-impact, vernacular buildings, efficient in scale.
- The building design is alien and unsympathetic, in terms of scale and shape.
- Allowing this development would undermine the National Park's reputation and its ability to preserve the environment in the future.
- The proposal to house youth offenders on the site would adversely affect the social balance of the area. The lack of public transport links and local amenities make this a poor location for such a scheme.
- The polytunnel would not survive due to strong winds and is too large.
- There is no need to build housing on the land it can be farmed as it is.

In addition, the applicant submitted 44 letters of support with his application. Whilst these representations were not submitted as a result of the statutory advertising of the application by this Authority, in the interests of fairness and transparency the main issues raised are summarised as:

- The development would contribute to the local, rural economy and have environmental and social benefits
- The development would demonstrate what can be done with a sustainable project
- The biodynamic methods for the project are supported
- The development would provide a very important educational resource
- It would be a pioneer project showing good "green" growing and low impact living
- There is no sustainable objection to the proposal
- The proposal is well designed with regard to the landscape/travel and access
- It would provide local produce
- Political initiatives should be backed by practical examples
- The applicants are dedicated, committed people.
- Low impact living is essential to reduce carbon emissions and be more sustainable

Policies considered

Please note that these policies can be viewed on the Policies page Pembrokeshire Coast National Park website - http://www.pembrokeshirecoast.org.uk/default.asp?PID=549

LDP Policy 01 - National Park Purposes and Duty

LDP Policy 07 - Countryside

LDP Policy 08 - Special Qualities

LDP Policy 11 - Protection of Biodiversity

LDP Policy 15 - Conservation of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park

LDP Policy 21 - Minerals Safeguarding

LDP Policy 29 - Sustainable Design

LDP Policy 30 - Amenity

LDP Policy 32 - Surface Water Drainage

LDP Policy 33 - Renewable Energy

LDP Policy 47 - Low Impact Development

LDP Policy 53 - Impacts on traffic

PPW4 Chapter 04 - Planning for Sustainability

PPW4 Chapter 05 - Conserving and Improving Natural Heritage and the

Coast

PPW4 Chapter 08 - Transport

PPW4 Chapter 09 - Housing

PPW4 Chapter 12 - Infrastructure and Services

SPG02 - Low Impact Development making a positive contribution

SPG06 - Landscape

SPG11 - Coal Works - Instability

SPG14 - Renewable Energy plus Addendum on Field Arrays

TAN 02 - Planning and Affordable Housing

TAN 05 - Nature Conservation and Planning

TAN 06 - Planning for Sustainable Rural Communities

TAN 08 - Renewable Energy

TAN 12 - Design

TAN 18 - Transport

TAN 22 - Planning for Sustainable Buildings

Officer's Appraisal

- 5.1 The application site comprises approximately 15.2 acres of pasture and rough grazing/woodland to the east of the small hamlet of Llanon, 1 mile south of Trefin. The site is undeveloped and is bordered by mature, native hedgerows and an area of scrub/woodland to the west. The land slopes gently upwards from north to south.
- 5.2 A pre-application enquiry was received earlier this year in relation to the possibility of establishing a low impact development on the land. (PA/11/0096). No view was expressed on the acceptability of the proposal but advice was given on the requirements for such an application.
- 5.3 A subsequent application for a low impact dwelling comprising a new dwelling, agricultural buildings, volunteer accommodation, education room, farm shop, solar panel array, polytunnel, compost toilet building and associated trackways and parking was reported to the Development Management committee meeting in 22nd February 2012. It was resolved to refuse planning permission on the grounds that the development did not meet criteria a, b, d and f of policy 47 of the Local Development Plan (LDP), and as such would constitute a new residential dwelling/educational resource in the countryside without justification, contrary to policy 7 of the LDP. In addition it was considered that the proposal would have a detrimental impact on the special landscape character of the National Park due to its position, scale and design and there was insufficient information to fully assess the development in respect of its full impact on the landscape character and visual appearance of the area (NP/11/398).

6.0 Current Application

- 6.1 The current application again seeks planning permission for a low impact development on 6 hectares to include a dwelling, an agricultural barn, an education room, a polytunnel and volunteer sleeping space. The application also includes a compost toilet building, duck house, solar panel array, access trackways and parking area and a pond. The development differs from the previous application in the following ways:
- i. The farm shop has been removed
- ii. The buildings have been relocated to the centre of the site
- iii. More detailed drawings have been provided
- iv. A permissive path has been included
- v. The household needs assessment has been amended to reach 75% of needs being met by Year 4 rather than Year 3 and over 100% by Year 5
- vi. Updating of the accompanying information to reflect the above changes and to provide more information
- 6.2 The application was accompanied by a significant amount of information which is available should members wish to read the full content but comprising:

Design and Access Statement and Design and Sustainability Statement
Transport Statement and Travel Plan
Management Plan
Code for Sustainable Homes Assessment indicating the dwelling will achieve
Level 5
Soil Survey and Crop Rotation Information
Carbon Analysis and Ecological Footprint Analysis
Ecological Survey
Community Impact Assessment
Landscape Visual Assessment

Landscape Visual Assessment Household Data

Letters of Support (see Public Response for detail) Statement regarding previous Opposition to the Proposal

- 6.3 The applicant wishes to establish a low impact development and land based business on the site. His vision is to create a sustainable and functional home for his family, and produce and sell a range of home grown organic products, including fruit and vegetables and seeds which will be sold through local businesses and as part of a Community Supported Agriculture Scheme. He will offer educational opportunities to a cross section of local and wider community groups and individuals and enhance and protect the diversity of the site whilst living a low impact lifestyle. The site will be developed along permaculture principles and includes a number of new buildings, in particular a dwelling, agricultural barn, volunteer sleeping space, and educational building, polytunnel, and compost toilet.
- 6.4 The buildings will be constructed of timber frame structures with straw bale and lime and earth plaster/larch cladding walls and turf/sedum, mono pitch roofs, with locally sourced timber joinery. The house will contain three bedrooms and office, and the volunteer cabin will provide two single bedrooms for volunteers on educational visits. The application also includes a solar panel array to be situated in a new car park in the south east corner of the site.
- 6.5 The land will be divided up to provide areas for cereal, fodder and livestock, meadow, broadleaf and fruit planting, and vegetable garden, together with the "built" area comprising the house, animal sheds, volunteer accommodation, and education room.

7.0 Analysis

- 7.1 This application is on the agenda at the discretion of the Head of Development Management due to the significant public interest in the proposal and due to the issues that the proposal raises.
- 7.2 The development must secure the conservation and enhancement of the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the National Park (Policy 1 and Policy 15) and its special qualities. Policy 1 is the one overarching policy in the Plan that deals with National Park purposes and duty. The Authority

has also prepared and adopted Supplementary Planning Guidance on Landscape (adopted June 2011) which is of direct relevance.

- 7.3 Policy 7(g) Countryside allows for low impact development that makes a positive contribution as set out in Policy 47.
- 7.4 This application falls to be considered in the main against Policy 47 of the Local Development Plan, which relates to Low Impact Development making a Positive Contribution. The policy contains eight tests to be met in any application, with a requirement that they all must be met to justify a proposal. The Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) in relation to Low Impact Development (LID) is also of direct relevance. The SPG sets out the National Park Authority's approach to proposals submitted under policy 47 and what issues an applicant will need to address to meet the tests in the policy.
- 7.5 In addition Technical Advice Note 6 Planning for Sustainable Rural Communities published by the Welsh Government in July 2010 contains advice in relation to One Planet Developments and takes forward LID principles in the Welsh context. It provides advice on the information required with an application, and how proposals must justify the need to live on site and how the inhabitant's requirements in terms of income, food, energy, and waste assimilation can be obtained directly from the site. The Government is currently preparing additional guidance on these matters but to date this has not been published.
- In the above policy context, the proposal requires analysis, not only 7.6 with regard to the Authority's own policies but also with regard to TAN 6 which is a material consideration. Members should note that a draft version of TAN 6 (which included provision for One Planet developments) was published prior to the adoption of the LDP. This introduced for the first time at a national level the Welsh Government's commitment to One Planet Developments which have similar characteristics to what this Authority knows as LID. Evidence was put to the Local Development Plan Examination in March 2010 that the emerging TAN 6 did not acknowledge the outward focus of projects sought in the LDP policy, it did not address the need to protect and enhance the environment adequately and the standard to be achieved regarding transport impacts was not set out. The Authority considered that the tailored approach to local circumstances as set out in policy 47 was more appropriate. This tailored policy approach was recommended by the Inspector and subsequently adopted.
- 7.7 Members should also note that the most recent case for considering how to deal with cases and the comparative weight to be given to the national and local policy was in respect of a low impact horticultural smallholding in Cosheston (NP/10/017). In determining that appeal the Inspector determined the appeal with regard to whether the development was in accordance with Policy 47. The Low Impact Development Making a Positive Contribution Supplementary Planning Guidance was considered to be 'appropriately prepared and adopted' and was given significant weight. It provides guidance

on how Policy 47 is to be applied. The Inspector also 'had regard' to the Government's policy and advice as set out in Planning Policy Wales and Technical Advice Note 6 in relation to One Planet Developments.

- 7.8 Consequently, the main issue to be determined is whether the proposal is an acceptable low impact development in accordance with LDP Policy 47, when considered also in relation to the Welsh Government's policy and guidance, and consequently a justifiable exception to the strong presumption against development in the countryside. In any event, where not already addressed by consideration of Policy 47 criteria, regard is also to be had to the impact of the proposals on the attributes of the National Park and on the landscape.
- 7.9 In the context of the above, the starting point must be the development plan and in this respect all eight criteria of policy 47 are required to be met. The report will consider each criterion in turn.
 - a. Make a positive environmental, social and/or economic contribution with public benefit
- 7.10 In dealing with the Cosheston appeal, the Inspector considered that it was not necessary for a LID development to provide all three of these contributions. It should however demonstrate a positive environmental contribution together with either a social or economic one. Criterion a) clearly requires wider public benefits to be demonstrated rather than simply benefits to the occupier.
- 7.11 Environmental benefits: The applicant states that a number of environmental benefits will arise from this proposal, with the repair of hedges with local provenance stock, coppicing of sycamore scrub, scrub area reserved for wildlife, pond creation, organic land management, planting native woodland, re-instatement of field boundaries, seed saving vegetables adapting to changing climate, introduction of bee hives and bee plants, inclusion of a barn owl box and restriction of work near Japanese knotweed.
- 7.12 The ecological survey submitted with the application found that the site comprises two similarly sized agricultural fields (c 1.5 hectares) and a small area of scrub woodland (c 1 hectare). The land is predominantly arable and has been ploughed, re-seeded and grazed. The hedgerows have been damaged with large gaps being evident and the main ecologically diverse area is the area of scrub woodland to the west of the site. There is also evidence of two single hole badger setts within this area (badgers being a protected species) and also an area of Japanese Knotweed, the spreading of which constitutes an offence. The report makes a number of recommendations to repair the hedgerows, the coppicing of the scrub woodland in the interests of long term management and the prevention of the spread of any knotweed. The applicant also indicates that GLASTIR funding will be used for additional woodland planting within the site.

- 7.13 The Authority's Conservation team concurs with the ecological survey that has been carried out and considers that the existing arable fields do not offer much in the way of biodiversity. The re-introduction of smaller fields following to some degree historic boundaries is beneficial to the landscape and the reintroduction of additional field boundaries and their management will enhance the hedgerow and woodland corridors and provide greater connectivity for biodiversity. In addition it is accepted that the organic nature of the enterprise will aid the ecology and bio-diversity of the site. CCW also supports the proposal with regard to its positive impacts on biodiversity.
- 7.14 Notwithstanding these benefits, it is evident that most of these measures are intended to support the LID rather than having any additional public benefit. Whilst there will inevitably be some benefit from having greater connectivity in the hedgerows and thus wildlife corridors and some additional carbon absorption from the new planting, the benefits arising from this are considered to be relatively minor and in the main benefit the applicant for fuel and food supply. The SPG clearly requires wider public benefits to be demonstrated and the public benefit is negligible in this case, in particular taking account of the negative impacts arising from the presence of this development to achieve these environmental gains, due to the visual impact which is fully discussed under criterion d) below.
- 7.15 As such it is not considered that this proposal provides an environmental contribution with wider public benefits sufficient to comply with criterion a) of policy 47 in relation to environmental matters.
- 7.16 Social and economic benefits: With regard to the social and economic contribution, the applicant states that the development will provide skills and training (areas of training include food cultivation, woodland management, buildings construction, waste management, water supply, fuel production, renewable technologies, and animal management). This will in turn lead to increased employment, self confidence and self worth, and volunteers, trainees and educational visitors will benefit from healthy activity in a beautiful environment increasing personal health and well being. The development will also produce local, organic produce and seeds, contributing to the local economy and work with existing businesses and run Welsh language courses for learners and existing speakers. The applicant has included a Community Impact Assessment with his application.
- 7.17 There is little evidence within the application to suggest that there is either a need or a demand for such training on sustainable living. Two projects within Pembrokeshire have also already been granted permission for projects which include training in relation to LID and sustainability (Lammas and Mount Pleasant, Cosheston) and there is some doubt as to the level of interest that a further project would generate. The applicant considers this to be "an absolutely shocking statement". He disputes that these two small projects on opposite ends of Pembrokeshire are sufficient to educate people in practical sustainable development, and he considers that sustainable development should be incorporated into the lives of every member of the

public and business which will require education, the evidence for which is substantiated by his submitted letters of support.

- 7.18 Whilst this viewpoint is noted, the point being made by your officers is that there are opportunities already available within Pembrokeshire for practical, on the ground training in sustainable development, with many other aspects of sustainable development education not needing "on site" education. Whilst the planning system is not generally able to consider "competition" or the number of similar projects in an area, in the case of LID, it is essential to consider whether a proposal will make a social/economic contribution. The view of your officers is that this contribution will be minimal on this occasion and particularly in the context of other available, similar opportunities within the same county.
- Furthermore, whilst there are letters in support of the 7.19 seed/fruit/vegetable growing, there are concerns with regard to possible (and probable) crop failure, standards and problems with cross-pollination and crop rotation. The applicant has provided letters of support stating that other similar crops have been successful in exposed locations in Pembrokeshire. but there is evidence to suggest that these crops have required inside space due to their failure (a recent application has been received for polytunnels in relation to the Real Seeds Company in Newport for example). Whilst the lack of inside growing space could be rectified by the provision of further polytunnels, these are not included in this application, and an increase in the number of such structures would have a greater visual impact. It is also evident that there are other local suppliers within the area already providing a local, organic fruit and vegetable service. It is also unclear what level of employment is likely to be generated (inevitably there will be some during the construction phase but longer term employment is not evident and the application forms state that there will be no new employees other than the applicant and his partner) and the use of volunteers is likely to decrease any employment opportunities.
- 7.20 The criterion clearly requires wider public benefits to be demonstrated rather than simply benefits to the occupier. The current application has been amended from that previously refused to include access to the site via a permissive path. This would enter the site at its south west corner and follow the field boundary into the northern half of the site into the wooded area, culminating at the proposed pond. Whilst this path would clearly offer an opportunity to access land currently within private ownership, it does not connect with existing rights of way, or provide any circular route and as such it is considered that its contribution would be negligible. In light of these conclusions, it is unclear from the evidence provided that wider public benefits would accrue from this proposal in respect of the socio/economic benefits.
- 7.21 With regard to the other training opportunities that this site could offer, a number of these could be provided elsewhere (ie not necessarily within a countryside location) and this is discussed further under criterion 5.

- 7.22 The social and economic aspects of this development could also result in some negative results. The training/educational visits will inevitably result in increased movements to and from the site, and due to the site's location a number of these are likely to be by private car. National Government seeks to minimize and reduce the use of the private car and LID should be an exemplar in this respect. Whilst a transport statement has been provided, in the absence of any strong direction within the application as to the likely numbers of visits and people, it is not possible to fully assess the negative impacts that could arise from this proposal. As such it is considered that there is uncertainty within the application as to the social and economic contribution that this proposal will make and as such, taking into account the comments on the environmental contribution it is not considered that this application meets criterion a of policy 47.
 - b. All activities and structures on site have low impact in terms of environment and use of resources
- 7.23 The SPG in relation to this criterion requires LID to provide for their own water, sanitation and energy and not rely on mains resources, although where mains exist on site their use should be restricted and only used until the target of meeting resources on site is realised. Resource needs should be met on site by year 3 of the project. Buildings should be constructed from recycled, reusable materials with low embodied energy and from sustainable sources and should be reversible. Traffic generation should also be well below that generated from a similar development operated in a conventional way and the development should have a low impact in terms of the landscape.
- 7.24 The application included a pre-assessment report in relation to the Code for Sustainable Homes which states that Level 5 of the Code will be achieved (Level 3 is the minimum requirement for a conventional dwelling) and full details of all building construction materials has been submitted. The Design and Access Statement states that the development will be a zero carbon one. In addition an Ecological Footprint Report was provided which states that in Year 1 the applicant's ecological footprint would be reduced to 2.36 global hectares (gha) per capita and by Year 5 to 1.90gha per capita.
- 7.25 The applicant also provides information in relation to the proposed use of resources; water for cooking, food preparation and bathroom sink and drinking will be either from the mains which runs close to the site or from a borehole, water to be used for washing machine, bath, shower, and agricultural activities will be from rainwater harvesting. Grey water from these activities will be passed through a reed bed system and returned to the ground or stream and sewerage will be dealt with by compost toilets. The house and education room will be heated by wood burners, and the volunteer accommodation will be heated and electricity supplied by solar panels. Fuel for heating will be obtained from the coppicing/planted woodland from year 5 onwards. Water heat will be supplied from PV panels on the house whilst cooking fuel will use LPG initially with seasoned wood used in the long term (from 4 or 5 of the project). An electric cooker will also be used, powered by

solar panels. It is stated that the site will be connected to the mains so that excess energy can be sent to the grid when there is oversupply.

- 7.26 With regard to the materials to be used for the development it is considered that these comply with the criterion in so much as they are from sustainable sources, re-useable and reversible in the main. Their intrinsic qualities have low embodied energy and are largely organic in nature enabling a relatively easy reversibility. The applicant has also supplied information in relation to the solar PV panels and confirms that the PV panel array will be sufficient to supply the house all year round. The figures also take account of the volunteer accommodation and workshop in respect of light.
- However, the overall performance of all of the buildings is less clear and compliant. The application states that LPG will be used for cooking in the house in the short term and whilst it is indicated that wood for fuel sufficient not to rely on LPG will be used from year 4/5, it is considered to be highly questionable whether the proposed planting will produce sufficient fuel for the development within the required three years or even five years as required by TAN 6 (this being due to the new establishment of the trees and the exposed nature of the site). The development is also to be connected to mains water and to the electricity mains for supply to the grid. Whilst it is accepted that there is a mains water supply close by, and there is some argument that this could be more environmentally friendly than a borehole, no evidence has been provided to justify this case with specific regard to this site, and therefore it is not possible to compare these alternative water provisions. The assessment in respect of the solar PV panels, whilst making reference to the volunteer accommodation and barns in respect of light, makes no reference to the energy requirements of volunteers, or for educational purposes (ie for cooking, washing, the use of computers, projectors, mobile phone chargers et). As such in the absence of such a justification, these elements are contradictory to the requirements of policy 47 and combined with the other matters for consideration under criterion b) it is not considered that the proposal meets criterion b).
- 7.28 Furthermore, the proposal will inevitably lead to increased traffic movements. The application includes a transport statement and travel plan providing estimations of the likely movements for the various activities on the site and the division of movements to and from the site. Whilst the plan suggests that much access to the site will be via cycle, foot, bus and horse, there is also a significant amount of access by the private car. Whilst it is accepted that the figures given for the household itself are lower than those for a "conventional" development, it is inconceivable that most of the business side of the proposals will be accessed by anything other than private vehicle by virtue of the site's location in the countryside. There is a bus route within several hundred metres but trips for the educational part of the business are unlikely to be undertaken via the bus and a walk to the site. It is more likely that this part of the business will be via use of the private car to a location that has not had such activity prior to this proposal being put forward. Furthermore, as stated under criteria a) there is no evidence with the application to quantify the likely interest in this site for educational purposes

and it is very difficult therefore to measure the likely vehicular and other movements to and from the site. It is considered however that the probability is strongly in favour of the private car rather than other transport modes and these in turn will be reliant on fossil fuels, increasing carbon output.

- 7.29 In addition to the above criterion, it is not considered that the proposal will be well integrated into the landscape as set out in criterion d) below, and as such the combined effect of the shortfalls in the proposal as outlined result in a non-compliance with criterion b).
- 7.30 The application was also accompanied by an Ecological Footprint Analysis. This is the same analysis as was submitted for the previous application. External consultants were asked to comment on the report at the time of the previous application and whether its findings met policy 47 as far as it is relevant to ecological footprinting. Policy 47 does not directly include ecological footprinting but an assessment of footprint is relevant to criterion b) as the use of resources is part of the assessment in footprint analysis. The report concluded that the analysis does meet policy 47 in this respect. However, as set out above, it is considered that the application fails to meet the criterion b) in other respects.
 - c. Opportunities to reuse buildings which are available in the proposal's area of operation have been investigated and shown to be impracticable.
- 7.31 The site has been previously undeveloped and as such there are no buildings within the proposal's area of operation that could be re-used. As such the proposal complies with this criterion.
 - d. The development is well integrated into the landscape and does not have adverse visual effects
- 7.32 As set out in the Current Application section of this report, the main built form of the development is to be located in the central part of the site with the car parking and solar panels located to the south east of the site and the polytunnel to the northwest. The vegetable garden and seed crops will be located to the immediate south of the house and buildings, with cereals, fodder and livestock situated to the south west and north east of the house. The application includes more detailed plans than previously submitted including sections and levels, although still lacking information in respect of the car park and solar panel array. A landscape appraisal has been undertaken with regard to the Authority's Landscape Character Assessment SPG which in turn draws down information collected as part of the LANDMAP assessment of landscape character.
- 7.33 It must be accepted that LID policy directs such developments to countryside locations, and thus there will be some impact on the local rural character. Notwithstanding this, criterion d) of policy 47 requires development to fit into its landscape setting, and not have adverse impact on views particularly from public routes and viewpoints and neighbouring properties.

The whole development should also be taken into account including impacts arising from materials storage, parking, ancillary structures such as polytunnels and from the change of use of the land itself where vegetable production etc is proposed.

- 7.34 The overall impression will be of a developed hillside and the introduction of built form and intensive use where there is currently pastoral landscape. The site is exposed when viewed from the direction of Trefin, the coast path, local Public Rights of Way and nearby properties. This is due to the slope of the land, down to the north, and due to the lack of effective vegetation cover.
- 7.35 The Landscape Character Assessment (LCA) Supplementary Planning Guidance LCA20 identifies this Landscape Character Area as:

'gently undulating agricultural landscape of medium sized fields with a close visual relationship with the adjacent coast. The area is interspersed with regular scattered farmsteads and occasional hamlets and small villages'.

The LCA SPG identifies the special qualities of this area. Those which are particularly relevant to this proposal are set out below:

"There is a strong, long established settled character, arising from small scale settlements and scattered farmsteads within an undulating, predominantly pastoral farmland landscape. There is a very distinctive local vernacular architecture, stone built with slate /grouted roofs.

The field pattern is well defined and imparts a rich texture to the open landscape, where small areas of woodland and scrub serve as punctuation points. The importance of the traditional field boundary hedgebanks and dry stone walls cannot be over emphasised and the quality of their condition as a result of management practices is a defining element in the landscape".

The nature of the development in relation to the surrounding landscape means that this form of development would be generally out of character and exposed. Whilst the buildings have been relocated further north (and thus lower down the field) from the previous refusal, a large area of clustered development, would be incongruous and it is not considered that it will be in keeping with the character of the local area. There is limited effective existing screening for the development, and there is doubt whether the planting schemes will provide effective screening (given the exposed nature of the site and due to the slope of the land). Much of the wider views of the site look down upon it and no amount of planting/screening can mitigate for this topography and views into the site. As such, it is your officer's view that the proposal will be out of character with the 'small scale settlements and scattered farmsteads within an undulating, predominantly pastoral farmland landscape' as defined in the LCA. The visual clutter and intensification of use introduced by the development would be at distinct odds with the large scale open aspect of the landscape and would, at this exposed location be discordant with the intrinsic character of the area.

- 7.36 This LANDMAP Visual and Sensory Aspect area is evaluated as High. [St Nicholas PMBRKVS024]. The LANDMAP information system indicates that one of the key qualities which should be preserved in this area is the 'sense of low intensity in some parts and coastal association'. It is considered that this area forms a strong relationship with the coastal edge and the extensive views to and from the coast. The landscape here is characterized by isolated single dwellings and clusters of tightly nucleated farm buildings in small complexes. The buildings, large vegetable planting area, parking area and polytunnel by both their presence and the scattered nature of the siting. would be inappropriate here and have an adverse visual and landscape character impact. It is unclear from the information provided, whether the screening indicated for 10 years time would either succeed (due to the sites location and exposure), or be sufficient to be in keeping with the character of the area, i.e. represent an area of woodland and scrub, which currently act as punctuation points, or whether the development would remain visually prominent.
- 7.37 This proposal would therefore introduce discordant elements into the landscape, eroding its pastoral character. The proposal is exposed through the slope of the site, and cannot be mitigated from the extensive views within the landscape character area, because there is much doubt as to whether the development could be effectively screened over time. The fields form part of a wider expanse of rectangular pastoral landscape, with low hedge boundaries, interspersed with areas of wood and scrub. It is therefore not considered that this proposal complies with criterion d) of policy 47.
 - e. The proposal requires a countryside location and is tied directly to the land on which it is located, and involves agriculture, forestry or horticulture
- 7.38 The main enterprise relating to this proposal is the growing of seeds, fruit and vegetables for sale to local businesses and from the gate. This is clearly a horticultural enterprise that requires a countryside location and therefore the principal business activity for this LID complies with this criterion.
- 7.39 The proposal also includes a secondary element of training and education, much of which is based on the demonstration of the practices and techniques used in the horticultural activities. As such these also are required to be located in the countryside. The more subsidiary elements, however, such as the Welsh classes, furniture making and weaving are not considered to be reliant on a countryside location and could be undertaken elsewhere. On balance however, the main business activity requires a countryside location and as such criterion e is met.
 - f. The proposal would provide sufficient livelihood for and substantially meet the needs of residents on the site
- 7.40 Criterion f) of Policy 47 requires 75% of the basic household needs of the residents on site to be met by means of activities centred around the use

of resources grown, reared or occurring naturally on the site. This requirement should be met by Year 3 of the project or if not, be explained in the application.

- 7.41 The applicant has provided information in relation to his annual household needs and his household data with scenarios for 2011/12 and 2015/16 given against a benchmark of 2009/10. He has also provided data in relation to his expenditure and income for the various parts of the enterprise. He states that the business will be financed from savings. He has indicated that whilst he anticipates that he will meet 75% of his basic needs by Year 3, that to enable all aspects of the project to develop and flourish, he has stretched this to Year 4.
- 7.42 It should be noted that the principle of LID does not necessarily follow conventional business costings, and this type of project is unlikely to generate significant levels of income, nor have expenditure that would be similar to a "conventional" type of living. The SPG accepts that the financial aspects of LID are often based on a subsistence based approach with a very low income. It is however necessary for projects to demonstrate that occupants can derive a livelihood from a full time presence on a site which is financially secure.
- 7.43 Whilst no independent view has been sought on the financial information in the application as it would not (nor should) follow a conventional business model, there are certain costs which are common to all developments such as council tax and where comparative data can be used. The application has been amended from the previous submission to take account of "standardised" payments such as council tax and insurance and these are reflected in the figures provided.
- 7.44 The footprint analysis consultants who were commissioned in respect of the previous application took into account the likely self sufficiency of the site in assessing the footprint of the project. In this respect the advice received was that the estimated food reductions for the scenarios presented and the associated reduction over time of the footprint were "implausible" with consideration being given over a five year period. Whilst the self sufficiency percentage has been extended by one year in this submission, the conclusions made previously took account of a five year period and still concluded that the food reductions were implausible. As such the data provided in relation to the projected reliance on home grown foods is not considered to be sound and calls to question the overall conclusions in relation to the amount of household needs that can be met by the site. In addition, as set out in the analysis of criterion b) it is evident that electricity and water will be provided from means outside the site and the quantities that the site itself will provide are unclear.
- 7.45 The applicant has also indicated that the set up costs for the business are to be met from savings. It is unclear whether the "business" includes the construction costs for the house and other buildings. It is also stated that funding will be sought for the woodland planting through the GLASTIR

scheme and through other grant schemes for the educational aspects of the project. Whilst there is no objection to grant aid being given to this project in the same way as any other business may apply for grants, this cannot form part of the essential financing of the scheme" where there is no guarantee of long term security for that funding. The scheme must be able to demonstrate how it will provide for the sufficient livelihood of the residents of site without any external funding. In this application, no information has been provided as to how the enterprise would be funded in the absence of these funds, nor how the construction will be financed. Again, this introduces doubt into the conclusions of the financial information.

- 7.46 There is also doubt regarding the likelihood of the site to produce the foods that have been listed in the application of for sufficient fuel to be provided by the woodland. The applicant has provided information in relation to the climate, hydrology, geology and soils on the site, but the highly exposed nature of the site so close to the coast calls to question the likely success of the proposed woodland and food planting, in particular soft fruits such as strawberries and raspberries. The production of these foods, if possible on this site, would seem to be likely to be reliant on further inside growing or protection which is not included in the application and which would have implications for other criteria in policy 47 (ie visual impact). As stated in criterion a) there is a risk of problems with crop failure and site success and the contingencies for these failures have not been addressed in the data provided. Furthermore, the likely supply of timber for fuel from the woodland within 3 years (or 4/5 as stated) is in doubt due to the site's conditions and respective growing time.
- 7.47 It is therefore considered that the financial information provided raises doubt as to its accuracy and the site's conditions and position provide considerable doubt on the amount of food and fuel that can be produced on site. In conclusion, the likelihood of the site being able to meet 75% of the basic needs by Year 3 (or within any other suggested time) is inconclusive and unlikely. As such the application fails to meet criterion f.
 - g. The number of adult residents should be directly related to the functional requirements of the enterprise
- 7.48 The application is proposed to sustain two adult residents on the site and information has been provided in relation to the division of the functional requirements of the enterprise between the two adults concerned. It is considered that the number of adults is directly related to the functional requirements of the proposal and criterion g is therefore met.
 - h. In the event of the development involving members of more than one family, the proposal will be managed and controlled by a trust, co-operative or other similar mechanism in which the occupiers have an interest
- 7.49 This criterion is not applicable as the development only involves one family.

8.0 Other Policies

- 8.1 As set out above, it is not considered that the proposal meets criteria a, b, d, or f of Policy 47. The policy requires all criteria to be met. In the absence of compliance with this policy, consideration needs to be given to whether the proposal meets other policies within the adopted Development Plan.
- 8.2 In this respect, Policy 7 in relation to the Countryside will only allow development outside identified centres where it meets one of eight specified circumstances, one of which is for LID. It has been concluded that the proposal fails to meet this criteria. The other criteria do, amongst other things, allow housing for essential farming needs. In this case, no justification has been put forward that this proposal is essential for housing a farm worker and it also fails to meet any of the other exceptions set out in this policy for development in the countryside.
- Furthermore, policies 1, 8 and 15 relate to the National Park's 8.3 purposes and duty, its special qualities, and its conservation. Policy 29 requires an integrated approach to design to be taken with regard, amongst other things to local place and distinctiveness. It is considered that this development, by virtue of the issues raised under criterion d) of Policy 47 fails to be compatible with the conservation or enhancement of the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the Park, nor to provide opportunities for public understanding and enjoyment of those qualities that would override the first purpose. The development would also fail to protect the special qualities of the National Park as required by Policy 8 as it would be an incongruous development in an exposed location to the detriment of the character of the area. It would cause visual intrusion and be insensitively and unsympathetically sited within the landscape, introduce a use and design of built form which is incompatible with its location and fail to harmonise with or enhance the landform and landscape character of the National Park as required by Policy 15. The development would therefore fail to meet the more general policies within the National Park relating to its overall protection.

9.0 Other Material Considerations

- 9.1 As set out in the Policy Section of this report, TAN 6 forms a material consideration in determining this proposal. TAN 6 refers to LID as One Planet Developments but for ease of this report the LID definition is used.
- 9.2 TAN 6 requires LID to meet the government's objective of reducing our ecological footprint to the global average availability of resources this being 1.88 global hectares per person in 2003. LID in the national context is development that through its low impact either enhances or does not significantly diminish environmental quality and should be an exemplar of sustainable development.
- 9.3 TAN 6 includes specific requirements; in particular a requirement for LID to initially reach an ecological footprint of 2.4 global hectares or less per

person and demonstrate clear potential to move towards 1.88 global hectares over time. Developments should also be zero carbon in construction and use. In this respect, the consultants commissioned to consider the Ecological Footprint Analysis concluded that the data assumptions employed for the scenarios for reduction in ecological footprint of food consumed by the occupants was implausible and as such underestimates the final Ecological Footprint. Furthermore, the application does not enumerate how a zero carbon development will be achieved and it is therefore not possible to determine that the development will be zero carbon as required by TAN 6. The applicant has responded to these issues raising concern that there are no guidelines from the Welsh Government in respect of how to undertake a zero carbon analysis and as such it is not possible to act on this. In addition, he considers that the Authority's footprint consultants have underestimated the likely yield from intensive home growing and instead relies on conventional yield data. It is the applicant's view that he can produce to the levels required and that unless projects are allowed to demonstrate that high levels of yield can be produced from this way of living, no scientific or comparable data will be available for similar projects. Whilst these comments are noted, in the absence of evidence, reliance on known yields and outputs are required and the advice from independent experts is that the data provided is implausible. As such the proposal does not meet the TAN 6 requirements in these respects.

- 9.4 Applications for LID in the context of TAN 6 should also demonstrate the need to live on site and quantify how the inhabitant's requirements in terms of income, food, energy and waste assimilation can be obtained directly from the site. The activities should be able to support the minimum needs of the occupants in no more than 5 years. A baseline assessment of biodiversity and landscape character should be undertaken and a management plan be provided to enhance features of importance. The potential impacts (positive and negative) on the community should be provided including mitigation and a travel plan should be provided showing how zero carbon modes of transport and minimization of the use of the private car will be achieved.
- 9.5 As set out in the analysis section above, it is accepted that the development requires a countryside location and by its very nature would require an on-site presence. In addition, the development will provide some (limited) environmental benefits and will utilise sustainable and low impact materials for the building construction. However, it is not considered that the occupants will be able to meet their minimum needs from the development, by Year 5 (or soon after) for the reasons set out under criterion f) and the traffic figures given are not supported by sufficient evidence for confirmation. It is therefore considered that the development fails to meet a number of the requirements of TAN 6.
- 9.6 Notwithstanding the above, it is not considered that even in the event of compliance with TAN 6 that this would be sufficient to overcome the concerns with regard to this development and its associated impact on the landscape character and visual amenities of the National Park. The National Park Authority has a duty under the Environment Act to conserve and

enhance the natural beauty, wildlife and cultural heritage of the Park and to promote public understanding and enjoyment of those qualities. It also should pay regard to the need to foster the economic and social well-being of the local communities within the Park providing this is compatible with its purposes. In the event of conflict between purposes, conservation must prevail.

9.7 The Welsh Government has developed national policy to allow for LID in the Welsh context, but due to the special character and landscape quality of the National Park, Policy 47 has been developed to respond to local circumstances in this context. On this particular site it is considered that the duty to conserve and enhance the National Park's natural beauty and cultural heritage is compelling and as such it is not considered that there are other material considerations that would justify approving this development contrary to the policies of the adopted Development Plan.

10.0 Other Matters

10.1 As set out in the Public Response section of this report numerous objections have been received to this proposal. Many raised issues with the principle of this development, whilst other queried matters of detail. This report has attempted to address the main issues raised by third parties in its content. It should be noted, however that matters in relation to the use of youth offenders as volunteers, and competition between existing businesses and that proposed are not material planning issues and fall outside the remit of the consideration of this application. Issues relating to possible precedent are also not relevant to the consideration of this proposal; each application should be dealt with on its merits and with regard to the policies in place at that time.

11.0 Conclusion

11.1 In conclusion, therefore the Town and Country Planning Act, as amended, requires local authorities to make decisions in accordance with the Development Plan unless other material considerations indicate otherwise. In this case, the proposal is not considered to meet the adopted Local Development Plan policies in respect of either LID or the more generic policies in relation to the protection of the special qualities of the National Park. Furthermore, it is not considered that there are any other material reasons, including taking regard of national guidance, that would indicate that this development should be granted contrary to the adopted Development Plan. The statutory purpose of the National Park Authority to conserve and enhance the National Park's natural beauty and cultural heritage is compelling in this case; it is not considered that this development would constitute a form of LID that would provide a positive contribution and would adversely affect the character and appearance of the area. As such the application is recommended for refusal.

12.0 Recommendation

That had the application been presented to Committee for consideration and decision it would have been refused for the following reasons:

- 1. It has not been adequately demonstrated that this proposal is a low impact development making a positive contribution, as defined by Policy 47 of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan, in particular criteria a, b, d and f.
- 2. With due regard to Reason 1, the proposal, if permitted, would therefore result in the creation of a new residential dwelling/educational resource in the countryside without justification and as such would be contrary to Policy 7 of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan which seeks to resist development in such locations except in exceptional circumstances
- 3. The proposed development by virtue of its position, scale and design would have a detrimental impact on the special landscape character of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park, and which the National Park Authority has a statutory duty to conserve and enhance. As such the proposal would fail to meet policies 1 (criterion a), 8 (criterion c) 15 (criteria a, b, c and d) and 29 (criterion a) of the Pembrokeshire Coast National Park Local Development Plan.

















