Potential site analysis for site 837, The Plot, Summerhill Lane, Manorbier Newton

Associated settlementCountrysideLDP settlement tierSmaller settlementsCommunity Council areaManorbierSite area (hectares)0.04

Site register reference(s) (if proposed as development site for LDP) 3415/SR148

Relationship to designated areas

Not within 500 metres of a SAC. Not within 500 metres of a SPA. Not within 500 metres of a National Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of a Local Nature Reserve. Not within 500 metres of a Marine Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of a Woodland Trust Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of a Wildlife Trust Nature Reserve. Not within 100 metres of Access Land. Not within 100 metres of a Scheduled Ancient Monument. Not within 50 metres of a Listed Building. Within 500 metres of a Historic Landscape Area. Not within 100 metres of a Historic Garden. Not within 50 metres of Contaminated Land. Not within airfield safeguarding zones for buildings under 15m high. Not within HSE safeguarding zones. Not within MoD safeguarding zones for buildings under 15m high. Not within 10 metres of a Tree Protection Order. Not within 100 metres of ancient or semi-natural woodland. Underlying Agricultural Land Classification: 3 (1 is Agriculturally most valuable, 5 is least valuable). Not within a quarry buffer zone. Not within safeguarded route for roads or cycleways. No Public Right of Way.

Not a Village Green.

Stage one commentary

Site is not wholly within a Site of Special Scientific Interest; Natura 2000 site; National, Local, Marine, Woodland Trust or Wildlife Trust nature reserve; or Scheduled Ancient Monument.

Does the site pass stage one site criteria tests? Yes

Stage two evaluation

| Ownership | G J Phillip & G M Hooper | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| General overview | This site appears to be a small triangle of overgrown / wooded copse which has overgrown / mature tree hedge boundaries. | | |
| Greenfield or Brownfield/PDI | Greenfield | Estimated number of dwellings | |
| Adjoining uses and access | The site sits to the west of a residential dwelling along Summerhill Lane. It is otherwise surrounded by agricultural land. | | |
| Visible constraints to development | The site is wooded. | | |
| Impact on National Park's Special Qualities | This settlement sits within an agricultural landscape. Small and medium sized fields, occasionally with overgrown hedgerows, and interspersed with settlements and farmsteads dominate. The hedgerows provide important landscape elements, providing a tangible link to earlier settlement. There is a strong cultural and historical sense of place, typified by the medieval open field system which has survived well in the area. The eastern part of the settlement lies within the Manorbier historic landscape of special interest. This site would introduce development into the wider agricultural landscape and could erode special qualities. | | |

Landscape impact mitigation measures

Affordable housing capacity assessment General notes

Development planning history

Planning application history (planning applications within, overlapping or adjacent to the potential site)

Summary of geological risk (class A is lowest risk, class E is highest risk)

Running sand class A; compressible ground class A; landslide class B; no soluble rocks; shrink swell class A

Summary of flood risk (from TAN 15)

Not within a TAN 15 zone

Public transport service

Distance from potential sites to selected services in kilometres

| Nearest shop | 1.65 | Nearest doctor | 6.33 |
|--------------------------|------|--------------------------|------|
| Nearest pub | 1.65 | Nearest Dentist | 5.93 |
| Nearest primary school | 2.59 | Nearest secondary school | 7.13 |
| Nearest post office | 2.98 | Nearest petrol station | 3.01 |
| Nearest community hall | 2.88 | Nearest police station | 8.3 |
| Nearest letter box | 0.22 | Nearest library | 6.16 |
| Nearest place of worship | 1.71 | Nearest cash point | 1.78 |
| Nearest sports ground | 2.79 | | |

* Distances are in kilometres, 'as the crow flies'

Consultee responses

| Consultee | Date of response | Response |
|--|------------------|---|
| Countryside Council for Wales | 01/05/2008 | No adverse comments received |
| Pembrokeshire County Council Highways | | Site is considered to be non-sustainable. One of the sites proposed in the village has been refused at a planning appeal due to restricted visibility. There are likely to be specific visibility concerns with others in this list. There is no footway and no public transport and it would be inappropriate to locate development here. Site is considered to be non-sustainable. Visibility into this site cannot be safely achieved. |
| Dwr Cymru | 21/07/2008 | Sewerage – There is no public sewerage system in this area. Any new development will require the provision of satisfactory alternative facilities for sewage disposal. |
| Dwr Cymru | 21/07/2008 | Sewage Treatment - We have numerous Waste Water Treatment Works within the County which are designed to treat foul effluent from residential and commercial/industrial premises. The discharges from each of the Works are licensed by the Environment Agency to meet European Directives. Dependant on the scale of development identified within your proposed Local Development Plan the associated sewerage catchment, and may need to be upgraded as required to accommodate future growth. |

| Dwr Cymru | 21/07/2008 | Water Supply – Pembrokeshire Coast National Park is fed from strategic Water Treatment Works in the County. These water treatment works are important assets for Dwr Cymru/Welsh Water (DCWW) in delivering potable water to customers, Commercial, Health & Education premises. DCWW are developing a 25 year water resource plan to meet projected future demands for the County. Based on future demands already shared with us we do not foresee any problems at present in meeting the anticipated domestic demands during the life of the Local Development Plan. Water is a precious resource and in line with Government objectives on sustainable development we would look to promoters of developments to have considered and included where feasible, water efficient devices within buildings and sustainable drainage measures. |
|--|--|--|
| Reasons site is suitable for development | | |
| Reasons site is not suitable for development | The site would introduce development into the countryside, at a site which is at least partly wooded, and at a location where further development would not be sustainable for public transport. | |

Does the site pass stage two tests? No

Proposed use